Casimir Effect for Massive Scalar Field

S. Mobassem
Department of Physics, University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran
(Dated: June 5, 2014)

The energy momentum tensor is used to introduce the Casimir force of the massive scalar field acting on a nonpenetrating surface. This expression can be used to evaluate the vacuum force by employing the appropriate field operators. To simplify our formalism we also relate the vacuum force expression to the imaginary part of the Green function via the fluctuation dissipation theorem and Kubo's formula. This allows one to evaluate the vacuum force without resorting to the process of field quantization. These two approaches are used to calculate the attractive force between two nonpenetrating plates. Special attention is paid to the generalization of the formalism to $D+1$ space-time dimensions.

arXiv:1403.0501v2 [quant-ph] 3 Jun 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Historically the Casimir effect is known as the small force acting between two parallel uncharged perfect conducting plates. The attractive force per unit area is [1]

$$F = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar c}{240 a^4} \tag{1}$$

where $\hbar$ is Plank's constant divided by 2π, c is the velocity of light and a is the separation between the two conducting plates. Though the force can be thought of as a limiting case of the Van der Waals interaction when retardation is included [2], it does not depend on the electric charge. This encourages one to look for a new interpretation of the force. It is known that the phenomena stems from the quantum aspect of the electromagnetic field, since the force tends to zero when we try to find the classical limit. The force can, therefore, be considered as the reaction of the vacuum of the quantum field against the presence of the boundary surface or surfaces [3–5]. In other words, the effect is simply the stress on the boundary surfaces due to the confinement of a quantum field in a finite volume of space. The restriction on the modes of the quantum field gives rise to the force acting on the macroscopic bodies [6].

Great variety of problems of practical and theoretical interests are intimately connected to various quantum fields having mass or massless, quanta of different spin. The later interpretation of the Casimir force signifies that the effect can not be merely for the electromagnetic field, and all the other quantum fields must display the same effect [7–11]. It is, in fact, the most important characteristic of the Casimir effect in all instances that it depends neither on electric charge nor on any other coupling constants, but it does build on the quantum nature of the fields [12]. The effect can be displayed in $D+1$ space-time dimensions for a variety of boundary surfaces and boundary conditions, and manifested on all scales, from the substructure of quarks [13–17] to the large scale structure of the universe [18–26].

There are different approaches to calculate the Casimir force [3, 5, 6]. Perhaps, the most usual one is to calculate the Casimir energy [1]. This is simply the difference between the zero point energy in the presence and the absence of the boundaries. The Casimir energy is apparently a function of the position of the boundaries. Once the casimir energy is evaluated, the force is obtained easily by differentiation. This approach can be cast into a more technical framework based upon the use of Green function. The Green function is related to the vacuum expectation value of the time ordered products of the field. It is, therefore, possible to calculate the Casimir energy in terms of the Green function at coincident arguments [6]. The equivalence of the sum of the zero point energy of the modes and the vacuum expectation value of the field is straightforward. In an alternative approach one may obtain the variation in the electromagnetic energy when the dielectric function is varied. The vacuum force between two semi-infinite parallel dielectrics can be obtained in this way [27].

The wide application of the effect on the one hand and the recent growth on the experimental verification of the phenomena [28–31] on the other hand, demands some more illuminative and simpler derivation of this effect for different quantum fields. The vacuum force is an indication of the momentum inherent in a quantized field when the field is in its vacuum state. We are thus led to quantize the field for the particular geometry in question, and thereafter, calculate the Casimir force using the energy momentum tensor. This is the most straightforward and clear treatment which provides both the force and the interpretation of the effect. Apart from a few simple configurations [32], considerable difficulties arise in practice when the field is to be quantized. Any simplification in this formalism is therefore of value whenever more complicated geometries are involved. This is achieved by using the fluctuation dissipation theorem and Kubo's formula [33, 34]. The aim of the present paper is to develop this approach into the massive scalar field. This may be a step forward to make a simple unified, yet comprehensive treatment of the Casimir force in a wide variety of domains and variously shaped configurations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we begin with the quantization of the massive scalar field in 1+1 space-time dimensions in the presence of two nonpenetrating plates. The attractive force between the two plates is obtained by the use of the latter field operators. The formalism is developed to 3+1 space-time dimensions in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we calculate the vacuum force in both 1+1 and 3+1 space-time dimensions by using the fluctuation dissipation theorem and Kubo's formula. The generalization of the two approaches to $D+1$ space-time dimensions are provided in Sec. V. Finally, we summarize and discuss the main results in Sec. VI.

II. ONE DIMENSIONAL FORMALISM

In this section we will make use of the 1+1 space-time dimensions. This is obviously a mathematical idealization that do not exist in the physical world, but it is instructive. The treatment is rather straightforward and, therefore, the basic idea of the formalism can be explored properly.

A. Field Quantization

We begin by summarizing the elements of field quantization in an appropriate form which are useful in the following sections. To simplify the calculations we restrict our discussion to neutral spin zero field $\hat{\varphi}(x,t)$ with mass m. The Klein-Gordon equation governing the field of a massive spin zero particle in one dimension is [35]

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}-\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}-\frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\right)\hat{\varphi}(x,t)=0 \tag{2}$$

The usual technique of Lagrangian mechanics shows that the conjugate momentum of the field $\hat{\varphi}(x,t)$ is

$$\hat{\pi}(x,t)=\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\varphi}(x,t) \tag{3}$$

The quantization procedure is carried out easily by decomposing the field $\hat{\varphi}(x,t)$ into positive and negative frequency parts, and expanding each one in terms of an appropriate set of mode functions $u(p,x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varphi}(x,t) &= \hat{\varphi}^{+}(x,t)+\hat{\varphi}^{-}(x,t)\\ &= \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{2}\right)^{1/2}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{dp}{\sqrt{\omega}_p}\hat{a}(p,t)\,u(p,x)+\text{H.C.}.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{\varphi}^{+}(x,t)$ contains all amplitudes which vary as $\exp(-i\omega_p t)$ and $\hat{\varphi}^{-}(x,t)$ contains all amplitudes which vary as $\exp(i\omega_p t)$ and $\hat{\varphi}^{-}(x,t)=[\hat{\varphi}^{+}(x,t)]^{\dagger}$. In this expression p is the particle momentum and

$$\omega_p=\left(p^2c^2+m^2c^4\right)^{1/2}/\hbar \tag{5}$$

We note that the use of mode function expansion has the effect that the time dependence of annihilation operator $\hat{a}(p,t)$ being characterized by a simple phase factor

$$\hat{a}(p,t)=\hat{a}(p)\exp(-i\omega_p t) \tag{6}$$

we expect that the annihilation and creation operators $\hat{a}(p)$ and $\hat{a}^{\dagger}(p)$ fulfill the usual algebra of bosonic operators, that is

$$\left[\hat{a}(p),\hat{a}^{\dagger}(p')\right]=\delta(p-p') \tag{7}$$

The mode function $u(p,x)$ satisfies the following differential equation

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+\frac{p^2}{\hbar^2}\right)u\left(p,x\right)=0 \tag{8}$$

This is obtained by substitution of Eq. (4) into Eq. (2), and using Eq. (6). Regardless of the explicit form of $u\left(p,x\right)$, it is seen from the sturm-Liouville theory that the mode functions obtained from Eq. (8) are restricted to the orthogonality and completeness relations if a proper boundary condition is used and the mode functions are appropriately normalized. The particular choice of coefficient in Eq. (4) is made for a reason that will become evident shortly.

In order to demonstrate the full consistency of the field quantization, one must necessary prove the equal-time canonical commutation relation between the field operator $\hat{\varphi}\left(x,t\right)$ and its complex conjugate $\hat{\pi}\left(x,t\right)$

$$\left[\hat{\varphi}\left(x,t\right),\hat{\pi}\left(x',t\right)\right]=i\hbar\delta(x-x') \tag{9}$$

This can be verified most easily by combining Eq. (3) with Eq. (4) to obtain the explicit form of $\hat{\pi}\left(x,t\right)$, and making use of Eqs. (7). It is seen that the explicit form of $u\left(p,x\right)$ is not needed and the orthogonality and completness relations of the mode functions are the only requirements to prove Eq. (9).

We are now in a stage to apply the presented quantization scheme to geometrical configurations of our interest. Consider two parallel nonpenetrating plates of thickness d located in an empty space. The x axis is chosen to be perpendicular to the interfaces with the origin at a distance $a/2$ from each plate as sketched in Fig. 1. We call the left(right) hand plate as plate 1(2) and refer the different empty regions separated by the plates as region 1, 2 and 3, as shown in Fig. 1. The aim is to obtain the field operator in the regions 2 and 3. It is understood that the complete expression of the field operator in the region 3 is in the form of Eq. (4). In this region the appropriate mode functions are the solution of Eq. (8) with the boundary condition $u\left(p,x=d+a/2\right)=0$ It is straightforward, without elaborate algebra to show that

$$u\left(p,x\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}}\left\{\exp\left(ipx/\hbar\right)-\exp\left[-ip(x-a-2d)/\hbar\right]\right\} \tag{10}$$

The mode functions in region 2 are obtained from Eq. (8) with the boundary conditions $u\left(p,x=a/2\right)=u\left(p,x=-a/2\right)=0$. One can easily show that the mode functions are also the eigenfunctions of parity operator, and thus divided into two classes with parity $\pm$. Therefore,

$$u_n^-\left(x\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\sin\frac{p_n^-}{\hbar}x, \qquad\qquad p_n^-=\frac{2n\pi\hbar}{a} \tag{11}$$

and

$$u_n^+\left(x\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\cos\frac{p_n^+}{\hbar}x, \qquad\qquad p_n^+=\frac{(2n-1)\pi\hbar}{a} \tag{12}$$

where the integer $n=1,2,....$ This is the typical mode functions of a cavity. Since $p=p_n$ takes discrete values, the integration with respect to p in Eq. (4) must be replaced with the summation over p_n or, equivalently, n

$$\hat{\varphi}\left(x,t\right)=\left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{2}\right)^{1/2}\sum_{\Omega,n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_n^\Omega}}\hat{a}_n^\Omega\left(t\right)u_n^\Omega\left(x\right)+H.C. \tag{13}$$

where $\Omega=(\pm)$ and ω_n^Ω is ω_p for $p=p_n^\Omega$. We note that in this region the Dirac delta function in the right hand side of Eq. (7) must be replaced by Kronecker delta functions

$$\left[\hat{a}_n^\Omega,\hat{a}_{n'}^{\Omega'\dagger}\right]=\delta_{nn'}\delta_{\Omega\Omega'}. \tag{14}$$

B. Casimir Force

The statement of conservation of linear momentum for a classical field shows that the flow per unit area of linear momentum across the surface S into volume V is given by $\mathbf{T}\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ is the unit outward normal vector at the



FIG. 1: Spatial configuration of the two plates with the geometric parameters.

surface S. The three dimensional tensor $\mathbf{T}$ is the stress tensor associated with the classical field. It is in fact the space-space components of the four dimensional symmetric mixed canonical energy momentum tensor. The explicit form of $\mathbf{T}$ for the massive scalar field is

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\left[(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi)\cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi) - \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\varphi^2\right]\delta_{\alpha\beta} \tag{15}$$

where $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$.

To describe the force in the quantum domain, we must replace the classical field by the corresponding field operator. The expectation value of the force operator evaluated for a given state of the field (the vacuum state in our case) will yield the force.

Let us now calculate the Casimir force acting on plate 2 in Fig. 1 Recalling the symmetry of the present configuration, it is evident that the force per unit area acting on the exterior(interior) interface of plate 2 is given by T_{11}(-T_{11}). It is seen from Eq. (15) that the explicit form of T_{11} in 1+1 space-time dimensions is

$$T_{11} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\varphi^2\right] \tag{16}$$

To simplify the force expression it is convenient to separate the field operator into positive and negative frequency parts. We, therefore, find that

$$F = \mp\frac{1}{2}\langle 0|\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^+}{\partial x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^-}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^+}{\partial t}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^-}{\partial t}\right) - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\varphi^+\varphi^-|0\rangle \tag{17}$$

where the upper(lower) sign holds for the force per unit area acting on the exterior(interior) interface of plate 2 and $|0\rangle$ refers to the vacuum state of the field. Note that the terms in which the annihilation operator acts directly on the vacuum state of the field have been set to zero.

The vacuum radiation pressure experienced by the exterior interface of plate 2 as well as its interior interface due to the vacuum field in the regions $x > a/2 + d$ and $-a/2 < x < a/2$ respectively, are obtained by the substitution of Eqs. (4) and (5) into Eq. (17). These calculations are not provided here for the sake of brevity. The net force per unit area acting on plate 2 can be written down as

$$F = \frac{c^2}{2a\hbar}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{{p_n}^2}{\omega_n} - \frac{c^2}{2\pi\hbar^2}\int_0^{+\infty} dp\frac{p^2}{\omega_p}\,. \tag{18}$$

It is convenient to introduce $n = \frac{a}{\pi\hbar}p$ as the variable of integration and rewrite Eq. (18) in the form of

$$F = \frac{\pi^2\hbar^2 c}{2a^3}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) - \int_0^{+\infty} dn f(n)\right] \tag{19}$$

where

$$f(n) = \frac{n^2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{n\pi\hbar}{a}\right)^2 + m^2c^2}} \tag{20}$$

Note that the term $n = 0$ in Eq. (19) is zero and thus Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) are identical. Using the poisson's sum formula [3, 36], the summation of $f(n)$ over n can be treated as the summation of the Fourier cosine transform of f over n, that is

$$F = \frac{\pi^2\hbar^2 c}{2a^3}\left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\int_0^{+\infty} dx f(x)\cos(2\pi nx) - \int_0^{+\infty} dn f(n)\right]. \tag{21}$$

It is seen that in the squared brackets in the right hand side of Eq. (21), the first term for $n = 0$ and the second term cancel one another and we find that

$$F = -\frac{\hbar^2 c}{4a^3}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2}\int_0^{+\infty} dx \frac{\cos(2\pi nx)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{x\pi\hbar}{a}\right)^2 + m^2c^2}}\,. \tag{22}$$

The integral representation of the modified Bessel function K_0 can be used for integration over x and the recurrence relations of modified Bessel functions can be employed for differentiation in Eq. (22) [37]. We finally obtain the force expression as

$$F = -\frac{m^2c^3}{\pi\hbar}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[K_2(n\xi) - \frac{1}{n\xi}K_1(n\xi)\right]. \tag{23}$$

Employing the limiting forms of K_1 and K_2 for small argument, one can easily show that the Casimir force for a neutral massless scalar field in 1+1 dimensions is

$$F = -\frac{\pi\hbar c}{24a^2} \tag{24}$$

This is half of the similar expression for the electromagnetic field. This is understood in terms of the two states of polarization of the electromagnetic field [3].

III. THREE DIMENSIONAL FORMALISM

A great variety of problems of practical and theoretical interest are in three space dimensions. The development of the present formalism to 3+1 space-time dimensions is, therefore, important. The purpose of this section is to set 3+1 dimensional formalism in a context to establish a close contact with the results already obtained in 1+1 dimensions. This allows us to avoid any duplication for similar calculations.

A. Field Quantization

The dynamical behaviour of a neutral spin zero field $\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ with mass m is obtained from Klein-Gordon equation.

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\right)\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 \tag{25}$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. We usually work with a complete continuum of plane wave mode functions in which the $\mathbf{p}$ vector are not restricted to the discrete spectrum. As in the 1+1 dimensional formulation it is useful to decompose the field operator into positive and negative frequency parts $\hat{\varphi}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Expanding each part in terms of an appropriate set of mode functions $u(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r})$, we find that

$$\hat{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)=\left(\frac{\hbar c^{2}}{2}\right)^{1/2}\int\frac{d^{3}\mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{\omega_{p}}}\hat{a}\left(\mathbf{p},t\right)u\left(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}\right)+\text{H.c.}. \tag{26}$$

Comparing Eqs. (25) and (26) with their corresponding 1+1 dimensional forms Eq. (2) and (4), it is seen that the annihilation operator $\hat{a}\left(\mathbf{p},t\right)$ has the same time dependence as in Eq. (6), and possesses the bosonic commutation relation

$$\left[\hat{a}\left(\mathbf{p}\right),\hat{a}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{p}'\right)\right]=\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}') \tag{27}$$

It can be verified from substitution of Eq. (26) into Eq. (25) that the mode functions are obtained from the differential equation

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}+\frac{p^{2}}{\hbar^{2}}\right)u(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r})=0 \tag{28}$$

For some purposes which become evident shortly, it is useful to cast the mode functions for free space in the absence of any boundary surface into some what different form

$$u\left(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\exp(i\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}/\hbar)u(p_{1},x_{1}) \tag{29}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}=(x_{2},x_{3})$, $\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}=(p_{2},p_{3})$ and $u(p_{1},x_{1})$ is obtained by solving Eq. (8) and replacing x and p with x_{1} and p_{1}, respectively.

We now consider briefly the field quantization in the region 3 of Fig. 1 in three space dimensions. We note that the $x_{2}x_{3}$ plane is assumed to be parallel to the plane interfaces of the two plates. It is convenient to retain the forms of Eqs. (26) and (29) for the field operator and the mode functions. This means that the explicit form of $u(p_{1},x_{1})$ is now given by Eq. (10).

A more complicated form for the field operator appears in the region 2. In this case we have to work with a complete plane wave mode functions in which the p_{1} component of the $\mathbf{p}$ vectors are restricted to the discrete spectrum, while their $\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}$ are not. This indicates that the proper form for the field operator is

$$\hat{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)=\left(\frac{\hbar c^{2}}{2}\right)^{1/2}\int d^{2}\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\sum_{\Omega,n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{n}^{\Omega}}}\hat{a}_{n}^{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},t\right)u_{n}^{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},\mathbf{r}\right)+H.c. \tag{30}$$

where

$$u_{n}^{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},\mathbf{r}\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\exp(i\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}/\hbar)u_{n}^{\Omega}(x_{1}) \tag{31}$$

Note that $u_{n}^{\Omega}(x_{1})$ are given by Eqs. (11) and (12). Recalling the typical algebra of bosonic operators inside the cavity in one dimension, we are led to consider the following algebra in the present case

$$\left[\hat{a}_{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}),\hat{a}_{n'}^{\Omega'\dagger}(\mathbf{p}'_{\parallel})\right]=\delta_{nn'}\delta_{\Omega\Omega'}\delta(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}-\mathbf{p}'_{\parallel}) \tag{32}$$

The question such as the orthogonality and completeness relations of the mode functions in each region in three dimensions are easily verified in terms of Eq. (29) and the corresponding one dimensional problem.

B. Casimir Force

Having established the appropriate forms for the field operator in regions 2 and 3 in 3+1 dimensions, we can now seek to establish the force expression. Decomposing the field into positive and negative frequency parts, it is straightforward to show that the force per unit area acting on the exterior(interior) interface of plate 2 is

$$F = \mp\frac{1}{2}\langle 0|\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^+}{\partial x_1}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^-}{\partial x_1}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial\varphi^+}{\partial x_\parallel}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^-}{\partial x_\parallel}\right) + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^+}{\partial t}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\varphi^-}{\partial t}\right) - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\varphi^+\varphi^-|0\rangle \tag{33}$$

with the sign convention of Eq. (17).

We are now in a position to evaluate the force on the exterior and interior interfaces of plate 2 and express the net force as

$$F = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2}\int d^2\mathbf{p}_\parallel \left\{\frac{\pi^2\hbar^2 c}{2a^3}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n,p_\parallel) - \int_0^{+\infty} dn f(n,p_\parallel)\right]\right\} \tag{34}$$

where

$$f(n,p_\parallel) = \frac{n^2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{n\pi\hbar}{a}\right)^2 + p_\parallel^2 + m^2c^2}} \tag{35}$$

Though the two terms in Eq. (34) diverge, it is straightforward with the help of Poisson's sum formula to obtain a finite net force acting on plate 2. Following the steps from Eq. (19) to Eq. (22), the force can be written as

$$F = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2}\int d^2\mathbf{p}_\parallel \left\{-\frac{\hbar^2 c}{4a^3}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2}\int_0^{+\infty} dx \frac{\cos(2\pi n x)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{x\pi\hbar}{a}\right)^2 + p_\parallel^2 + m^2c^2}}\right\} \tag{36}$$

Employing the integral representation of the modified Bessel function K_0 and using the recurrence relations of modified Bessel functions for differentiation in Eq. (36) [37], the force can be obtained as

$$F = -\frac{m^4c^5}{2\pi^2\hbar^3}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n\xi}\left[K_3(n\xi) - \frac{1}{n\xi}K_2(n\xi)\right] \tag{37}$$

The Casimir force for a neutral massless scalar field in 3+1 space-time dimensions is obtained by using the limiting forms of K_2 and K_3 for small argument

$$F = -\frac{\pi^2\hbar c}{480a^4} \tag{38}$$

As in the one dimensional formalism, Eq. (38) is half of the similar expression for massless vector field.

We thus introduce a formal approach for the calculation of the Casimir force for massless scalar field. It is, however, useful to cast this formalism into a some what different form.

IV. CASIMIR EFFECT AND FLUCTUATION DISSIPATION THEOREM

It is seen that in this formalism one should necessarily go through the process of the field quantization for the particular geometry in question and then calculate the Casimir force using the stress tensor. However, the field quantization encounters considerable difficulties, except for a few simple geometries. Any simplification in this formulation to avoid the use of explicit form of the field operator is therefore appreciated whenever more complicated geometries are involved.

It is convenient to separate the field operator into positive and negative frequency parts in the usual way

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r},t) &= \hat{\varphi}^+(\mathbf{r},t) + \hat{\varphi}^-(\mathbf{r},t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{+\infty} d\omega_p \hat{\varphi}^+(\mathbf{r},\omega_p)\exp(-i\omega_p t) + \text{H.c.},\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

It is clear that the positive and negative frequency parts in the integrand involve only the annihilation and creation operators, respectively. Note that the lower limit of integration in Eq. (39) is the condition which implies by Eq. (5).

The quantized field correlation function can be related to the imaginary part of the field Green function in the frequency domain $G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_p)$ by using fluctuation dissipation theorem and Kubo's formula [38]

$$\langle 0|\hat{\varphi}^{+}\left(\mathbf{r},\omega_{\mathbf{p}}\right)\hat{\varphi}^{-}\left(\mathbf{r}',\omega'_{\mathbf{p}}\right)|0\rangle = 4\hbar \mathrm{Im}G\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_p\right)\delta\left(\omega_p-\omega'_p\right). \tag{40}$$

Note that the right hand side of Eq. (40) is half of the similar expression for the electromagnetic field. This arises from the two states of polarization of the electromagnetic field. The whole idea in this approach is based on the understanding that we can express Eq. (17) or Eq. (33) in terms of the field correlation function such that to use Eq. (40) for the calculation of the Casimir force. It is useful to treat the problem for both 1+1 and 3+1 space-dimensions separately.

A. Casimir Force in 1+1 dimensions

It is seen that in order to calculate the force per unit area acting on plate 2, we need the explicit form of the coordinate space Green function for the case where both the source and observation points are on either side of the plate. One can easily show from Eq. (2) that the Fourier-time transformed Green function in 1+1 dimensions is determined by the solution of

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+\frac{p^2}{\hbar^2}\right)G\left(x,x',\omega_p\right) = -\delta(x-x') \tag{41}$$

The boundary conditions on $G\left(x,x',\omega_p\right)$ are divided into two types. The first are the boundary conditions at $\pm\infty$, which are easily imposed by assuming outgoing travelling waves. The second are those at the plane interfaces of the plates, which are governed by the boundary conditions on the field. The vanishing of the field operator on the plates entails that the Green function should necessarily vanish when the observation point x is on the interface of the plates. The details of these calculation are omitted here for the sake of brevity.

The coordinate space Green function is

$$G(x,x',\omega_p) = \frac{i\hbar}{2p}\left\{\exp[ip|x-x'|/\hbar]-\exp[ip(x+x'-a-2d)/\hbar]\right\} \tag{42}$$

where the two points x and x' are both within region 3. The two terms in Eq. (42) are typical of the semi-infinite geometry. The bulk part term with argument $|x-x'|$ is associated with the direct communication between x and x', while the other term corresponds to the communication between x and x' via reflection in the exterior interface of of plate 2.

If x and x' are both within region 3, the appropriate Green function in the coordinate space is

$$\begin{aligned} G(x,x',\omega_p) = \frac{i\hbar}{2p}\exp(ip|x-x'|/\hbar) - \frac{i\hbar}{2p}\frac{\exp(2ipa/\hbar)}{1-\exp(2ipa/\hbar)}\big\{&\exp[-ip(x+x'+a)/\hbar] \\ &+\exp[ip(x+x'-a)/\hbar]-\exp[-ip(x-x')/\hbar]-\exp[ip(x-x')/\hbar]\big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

The structure of this Green function is typical of the cavity systems. The first term, the bulk part, corresponds to the direct communication between x and x', while the rest is associated with the communication between x and x' via reflections from the cavity walls. Note that in the derivation of Eqs. (42) and (43), the boundary conditions requires that these two Green function vanish on the exterior and interior interface of plate 2, respectively.

Having found the coordinate space Green function on either side of plate 2, the evaluation of the Casimir force acting on plate 2 is straightforward by means of Eqs. (17) and (40). It seems clear, in view of the typical behaviour of the Green function on the interfaces of plate 2, that the only nonvanishing term of the force expression in 1+1 dimensions is

$$F = \mp\frac{1}{2}\langle 0|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varphi}^{+}(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varphi}^{-}(x,t)|0\rangle \tag{44}$$

This means that only the spatial derivatives of the field correlation function is not zero on the interfaces. Substitution of the field operator for 1+1 dimensions from Eq. (39) into Eq. (44) yields

$$F = \mp\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{+\infty} d\omega_p \int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{+\infty} d\omega_p' \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\langle 0|\hat{\varphi}^+(x,\omega_p)\hat{\varphi}^-(x',\omega_p')|0\rangle\right]_{x=x'} \exp[-i(\omega_p-\omega_p')t] \tag{45}$$

Employing Eq. (40), the force expression can be rewritten as

$$F = \mp\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{\infty} d\omega_p \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\mathrm{Im}G\left(x,x',\omega_p\right)\right]_{x=x'} \tag{46}$$

The Casimir force acting on plate 2 is evaluated by taking into account the vacuum radiation pressure on both side of this plate. Using Eq. (46), the net force per unit area is

$$F = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{\infty} d\omega_p \left\{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\mathrm{Im}G\left(x,x',\omega_p\right)\right]_{x=x'=a/2} - \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\mathrm{Im}G\left(x,x',\omega_p\right)\right]_{x=x'=a/2+d}\right\} \tag{47}$$

The coordinate space Green function needed for substitution into the first and second terms of Eq. (47) are given by Eqs. (43) and (42), respectively. The bulk part contributions, which are identical in both Eqs. (42) and (43), cancel each other in Eq. (47). Furthermore, on expanding the prefactor of the square brackets in Eq. (43), one can easily show, by an appropriate manipulation of the summation indices, that the four terms of Eqs. (43) can be written as summation over n, where in the first term n varies from 0 to ∞, while in the other three terms it varies from 1 to ∞. The reflection term in Eq. (42) on the exterior interface of plate 2 is identical with the first term in Eq. (43) with $n = 0$ on the interior interface. These two terms cancel one another as well. The result after some algebra can be rewritten as

$$F = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{\infty} d\omega_p p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\cos(2npa/\hbar) \tag{48}$$

It is more convenient to choose p as the variable of integration. Therefore

$$F = \frac{c}{\pi\hbar}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} dp\frac{p^2\cos(2npa/\hbar)}{\sqrt{p^2+m^2c^2}} \tag{49}$$

The integration in Eq. (49) is all that need be done. To establish contact with the result already obtained, given by Eq. (22), it is adequate to introduce $x = ap/\pi\hbar$ as the variable of integration. The treatment is straightforward and the force expression can, therefore, be simplified as Eq. (23).

B. Casimir Force in 3+1 dimensions

As in 1+1 dimensions, we need the explicit form of the coordinate space Green function for the case where both the source and observation points $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ are within the gap between the two plates as well as where both $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ are in region 3. One can easily begin with the usual definition of the Fourier time transformed Green function along with the use of Eq. (25) to show that the response function satisfies the following differential equation

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 + \frac{p^2}{\hbar^2}\right) G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_p) = -\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'). \tag{50}$$

The symmetry of the present configuration enables us to convert this partial differential equation into an ordinary differential equation in variable x_1. This is obtained by expressing the response function in terms of its Fourier transform as

$$G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2}\int d^2\mathbf{p}_\parallel G(\mathbf{p}_\parallel, x_1, x_1', \omega_p)\exp[i\mathbf{p}_\parallel\cdot(\mathbf{x}_\parallel - \mathbf{x}_\parallel')/\hbar] \tag{51}$$

Substitution of Eq. (51) into Eq. (50) shows that $G(\mathbf{p}_\parallel, x_1, x_1', \omega_p)$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{{p_1}^2}{\hbar^2}\right) G(\mathbf{p}_\parallel, x_1, x_1', \omega_p) = -\delta(x_1 - x_1') \tag{52}$$

It is obvious that the boundary conditions on Eq. (52) are the same as those applies on Eq. (41). It, therefore, follows that the solutions of Eq. (52) on the right and left side of plate 2 are in the form of Eqs. (42) and (43), respectively.

The coordinate space Green function corresponding to Eq. (42) can be written in the form of

$$G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega_p) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_0^{rel}, \omega_p) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_1^{rel}, \omega_p) \tag{53}$$

where the first term corresponds to the bulk part and defined as

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_0^{rel}, \omega_p) = \frac{i}{8\pi^2\hbar} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}_\parallel}{p_1} \exp[ip_1|x_1 - x_1'|/\hbar] \exp[i\mathbf{p}_\parallel \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\parallel - \mathbf{x}_\parallel')/\hbar] \tag{54}$$

where

$$\mathbf{r}_0^{rel} = (x_1 - x_1')\hat{\mathbf{x_1}} + \mathbf{x}_\parallel^{rel}, \qquad \mathbf{x}_\parallel^{rel} = \mathbf{x}_\parallel - \mathbf{x}_\parallel' \tag{55}$$

The second term in Eq. (53) is associated with the surface term having the following form

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_1^{rel}, \omega_p) = \frac{i}{8\pi^2\hbar} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}_\parallel}{p_1} \exp[ip_1(x_1 + x_1' - a - 2d)/\hbar] \exp[i\mathbf{p}_\parallel \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\parallel - \mathbf{x}_\parallel')/\hbar] \tag{56}$$

where

$$\mathbf{r}_1^{rel} = (x_1 + x_1' - a - 2d)\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{x}_\parallel^{rel}. \tag{57}$$

The integration in Eqs. (54) and (56) can be done in a straightforward manner to find the coordinate space Green function. The treatment is lengthy and rather boring and conventional. We actually look for a plain, and not elaborate, argument to obtain the coordinate space response function. The bulk part can be thought of as the Green function in the absence of any boundary surfaces. In this sense $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_0^{rel}, \omega_p)$ is the Green function of Helmholtz differential equation, given by Eq. (50), in the absence of any boundary surfaces. This is a well known response function which we write it down in the following form for the reason that will be clear shortly

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_i^{rel}, \omega_p) = \frac{1}{4\pi\mathbf{r}_i^{rel}} \exp(ip\mathbf{r}_i^{rel}/\hbar), \qquad i = 0, 1, ... \tag{58}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i^{rel}$ for $i = 0$ denotes the position of the observation point relative to the source point. From the similarity of Eq. (56) with Eq. (54), it is seen that the response function $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_1^{rel}, \omega_p)$ can also be written in the form of Eq. (58) where the position of the observation points relative to the source point is now defined as $\mathbf{r}_1^{rel}$, given by Eq. (57). The structure of Eq. (53) is typical of a semi-infinite free space Green function. The surface part, the second term, that corresponds to the communication between the points $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ via reflection in the plane interface is associated with the image source.

We are now in a position to adopt this mathematical treatment for the calculation of the coordinate space Green function corresponding to Eq. (43). In fact the expansion of the prefactor of the square brackets in Eq. (43) prepares the ground for the use of latter technique in a straightforward manner. This allows one to cast the response function into the standard form as

$$G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega_p) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_0^{rel}, \omega_p) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_2^{rel}, \omega_p) + \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_3^{rel}, \omega_p) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_4^{rel}, \omega_p) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_5^{rel}, \omega_p)\right] \tag{59}$$

where the position of the observation point relative to the source point $\mathbf{r}_i^{rel}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ have the same $\mathbf{x}_\parallel^{rel}$ while their x_i^{rel} coordinates are

$$\begin{aligned} x_2^{rel} &= (2n-1)a - (x_1 + x_1') & \qquad x_4^{rel} &= 2na - (x_1 - x_1') \\ x_3^{rel} &= (2n-1)a + (x_1 + x_1') & \qquad x_5^{rel} &= 2na + (x_1 - x_1') \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

The structure of Eq. (59) is typical of a cavity geometry made up of two perfectly nonpenetrating walls. The first term is the bulk part, while the other terms correspond to the communication between the two points $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ via a series of infinite reflections in the cavity walls.

The coordinate space Green functions Eqs. (53) and (59) enable us, at least in principle, to calculate the Casimir force acting on plate 2 by the help of fluctuation dissipation theorem. The obvious and fundamental feature of the correlation function, provided by Eq. (40), is that only its derivatives with respect to x_1 or x_1' may possibly give a nonvanishing value on either side of plate 2. This means that the force expression in 3+1 dimensions can be rewritten in the form of

$$F = \mp\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{\infty} d\omega_p \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1'}\mathrm{Im}G\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_p\right)\right]_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}'} \tag{61}$$

on the either side of plate 2. This is obtained by combining Eqs. (33), (39) and (40). In this way, we can calculate the net force per unit area from the analogue of Eq. (47) for 3+1 dimensions

$$F = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{\infty} d\omega_p \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1'}\mathrm{Im}G\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_p\right)\right]_{\substack{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}' \\ x_1 = x_1' = a/2}} - \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1'}\mathrm{Im}G\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_p\right)\right]_{\substack{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}' \\ x_1 = x_1' = a/2+d}} \right\} \tag{62}$$

It should be obvious that Eq. (59) and (53) must be substituted into the first and second term of Eq. (62), respectively. It is seen that the bulk part contributions cancel each other. Furthermore, the first term in Eq. (59) whose relative position vector is $\mathbf{r}_2^{rel}$ with $n = 1$ on the interior interface of plate 2 is identical with the reflection term in Eq. (53) on the exterior interface of the plate. These two terms also cancel each other. Changing the index n in the first term as $n \to n-1$, along with taking into account that $\partial/\partial x_1 = \partial/\partial x_1'$ in the second and third terms of Eq. (59), while $\partial/\partial x_1 = -\partial/\partial x_1'$ in the forth and fifth terms, it is not difficult after some rearrangement to show that the net force takes the simple form

$$F = -\frac{\hbar}{2\pi^2}\mathrm{Im}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{\infty} d\omega_p \left[\frac{(ip/\hbar)^2}{(2na)} - 2\frac{(ip/\hbar)}{(2na)^2} + \frac{2}{(2na)^3}\right]\exp[ip(2na)/\hbar] \tag{63}$$

Taking the imaginary part of the summation along with changing the variable of integration from ω_p to p, one can easily show that

$$F = \frac{\hbar c}{32\pi^2 a^4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} - \frac{2}{n^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial n} + \frac{2}{n^3}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\int_0^{\infty} dp \frac{\cos(2npa/\hbar)}{\sqrt{p^2+m^2c^2}} \tag{64}$$

The integration in Eq. (64) can be done easily by using the integral representation of the modified Bessel function K_0. The result is the same as Eq. (37).

V. CASIMIR FORCE IN D+1 DIMENSIONS

Our consideration so far have applied to 1 and 3 space dimensions. For some purposes it is, however, useful to generalize the preset calculations to the $D+1$ space-time dimensions. To avoid any ambiguity it is easier to develop the abstract concept of D dimensional formalism by comparing it with the 3 dimensional formulation. The boundaries are now nonpenetrating hyperplates of thickness d whose interior and exterior hyperplanes of interfaces are located at $x_1 = \pm a/2$ and $x_1 = \pm(a/2+d)$, respectively. We label the hyperplates as well as the different regions of this geometry, by analogy with Fig. 1, by 1 and 2 as well as 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

It is understood that the field operator in the region 3 is now in the form of

$$\hat{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{2}\right)^{1/2}\int d^{(D-1)}\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\int_0^{\infty}\frac{dp_1}{\sqrt{\omega}_p}\hat{a}\left(\mathbf{p},t\right)u\left(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}\right) + \mathrm{H.c.} \tag{65}$$

where $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are D-vectors in D dimensional coordinate and momentum space. The mode function $u(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r})$ is in the form of

$$u(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}) = (2\pi\hbar)^{(1-D)/2}\exp(i\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}/\hbar)u(p_1,x_1) \tag{66}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{\parallel} = (x_2, x_3, ...x_D)$, $\mathbf{p}_{\parallel} = (p_2, p_3, ...p_D)$ and $u(p_1, x_1)$ is given by Eq. (10). Likewise, we can write down the field operator in the region 2 with the help of Eqs. (30) and (31) along with the obvious changes which are related the dimensional considerations. Therefore

$$\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r},t) = \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{2}\right)^{1/2}\int d^{(D-1)}\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\sum_{\Omega,n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_n^{\Omega}}}\hat{a}_n^{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},t\right)u_n^{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},\mathbf{r}\right) + H.c. \tag{67}$$

where

$$u_n^{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},\mathbf{r}\right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)/2}}\exp(i\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}/\hbar)u_n^{\Omega}(x_1) \tag{68}$$

Note that $u_n^{\Omega}(x_1)$ is given by Eqs. (11) and (12).

The field operators show that the calculations are very similar to the 3 dimensional formalism. The net force on the hyperplate 2 can, therefore, be evaluated in the usual manner and expressed as

$$F = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}}\int d^{(D-1)}\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\left\{\frac{\pi^2\hbar^2 c}{2a^3}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}f(n,p_{\parallel}) - \int_0^{+\infty}dnf(n,p_{\parallel})\right]\right\} \tag{69}$$

where $f(n)$ is given by Eq. (35). Comparing Eq. (34) with Eq. (69), it is seen that the latter expression is obtained by the well-known replacement

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2}\int d^2\mathbf{p}_{\parallel} \to \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}}\int d^{(D-1)}\mathbf{p}_{\parallel} \tag{70}$$

We can now use the poisson's sum formula along with the integral representation of the modified Bessel function K_0 to write the net force in the form of

$$F = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}}\int d^{(D-1)}\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\left\{-\frac{\hbar c}{4\pi a^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2}K_0\left(\frac{2na}{\hbar}\sqrt{p_{\parallel}^2+m^2c^2}\right)\right\} \tag{71}$$

The integration on the hyperplane $\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}$ is all that need be done. Before evaluating the integral, it is instructive to treat the problem by the alternative approach based on the fluctuation dissipation theorem and Kubo's formula.

By analogy with the 3 dimensional formalism, it is seen that the symmetry of the present configuration allows us to express the coordinate space Green function in terms of its Fourier transform as

$$G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}}\int d^{(D-1)}\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}G(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},x_1,x_1',\omega_p)\exp[i\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}\cdot(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}-\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}')/\hbar] \tag{72}$$

where $G(\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},x_1,x_1',\omega_p)$ is given by Eqs. (42) and (43) for the regions 3 and 2, respectively. Note that this is in agreement with Eq. (70). In general, the calculation of the Fourier transform integral over the $D-1$ dimensional hyperplane $\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}$ will be much more difficult than the calculation of the same integral over the plane $\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}$. The reason for greater difficulty is apparently that, in general, the more number of dimensions involved the more difficulty to perform the integration. Fortunately, it is the imaginary part of the space Green function at $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}'$, not the explicit form of it, that concern us. Therefore, recalling the discussion above Eq. (61), it is seen that regardless of how complicated the space Green functions are in the region 3 and 2, the net force on the hyperplate 2 is obtained by substitution of Eq. (72) into Eq. (62). We stressed that for the first term of Eq. (62), the Fourier transformed Green function is given

by Eq. (43), while for the second term it is given by Eq. (42). By combining Eqs. (72) and (62) and making use of $\mathbf{x}_\parallel = \mathbf{x}'_\parallel$ on both of the interior and exterior hyperplanes of the hyperplate 2, we find easily that

$$F = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \int d^{(D-1)}\mathbf{p}_\parallel \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_{mc^2/\hbar}^{\infty} d\omega_p \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_1} \mathrm{Im}G\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega_p\right) \right]_{x_1 = x'_1 = a/2} \right.$$
$$\left. - \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_1} \mathrm{Im}G\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega_p\right) \right]_{x_1 = x'_1 = a/2+d} \right\}. \tag{73}$$

Inserting Eqs. (43) and (42) into Eq. (73), and taking into account that the bulk part contributions in the first and second terms of Eq. (73) cancel each other. Furthermore, on expanding the prefactor of the square brackets in Eq. (43) and by comparing with the 1 and 3 dimensional formalism, it is seen that the reflection term in Eq. (42) and the first term in Eq. (43) with $n = 0$ cancel each other as well. The result can, therefore, be written down as

$$F = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \int d^{(D-1)}\mathbf{p}_\parallel \left(\frac{c}{\pi\hbar}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dp_1 \frac{p_1^2 \cos\left(\frac{2np_1a}{\hbar}\right)}{\sqrt{p_1^2 + P_\parallel^2 + m^2c^2}} \tag{74}$$

In writing Eq. (74) we apply a suitable change of variable of integration, that is p_1 instead of ω_p. Employing the integral representation of the modified Bessel function K_0, Eq. (74) can be written in the form of Eq. (71). It is, therefore, seen that the result obtained in this way fully agrees with result that can be obtained using the method of field quantization.

The integration in Eq. (71) over the hyperplane $\mathbf{p}_\parallel$ can be done easily in the usual manner. The method rests on the definition of the solid angle in $D-1$ dimensional space of the hyperplane $\mathbf{p}_\parallel$. Since the integrand possesses spherical symmetry in the hyperplane, it depends only on $p_\parallel$, the integration over the solid angle in the momentum space $\mathbf{p}_\parallel$ is trivial. We find that

$$F = -\frac{\hbar c}{4\pi a^2} \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{(\sqrt{\pi})^{(D-1)}}{\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} \int dp_\parallel {p_\parallel}^{(D-2)} K_0\left(\frac{2na}{\hbar}\sqrt{p_\parallel^2 + m^2c^2}\right) \tag{75}$$

where Γ is the Gamma function. There remains only the integration on $p_\parallel$. Despite the complicated dependence of the integrand on $p_\parallel$, the integral is well-known and yields

$$F = -\frac{\hbar c}{4\pi a^2} \left(\frac{\xi}{2a\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{(D-1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} \left(\frac{1}{n\xi}\right)^{(D-1)/2} K_{(D-1)/2}(n\xi) \tag{76}$$

Using the recurrence relations of the modified Bessel functions, we can easily show that

$$F = -2\hbar c \left(\frac{\xi}{2a\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{(D+1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n\xi}\right)^{(D+1)/2} \left[(n\xi)K_{(D+3)/2}(n\xi) - K_{(D+1)/2}(n\xi)\right] \tag{77}$$

We can now derive the Casimir force for a neutral massless scalar field. This is obtained by inserting the limiting forms of the modified Bessel functions in Eq. (77). The result can be expressed as

$$F = -\frac{D\Gamma[(D+1)/2]\zeta(D+1)\hbar c}{(2a\sqrt{\pi})^{(D+1)}} \tag{78}$$

where ζ is the Zeta function. It is evident that by setting $D = 1$ and $D = 3$ in Eq. (78), one can easily obtain Eqs. (24) and (38), respectively. The latter equation is a well known result which has been reported in the literature [6].

VI. CONCLUSION

We have presented two approaches to the calculation of the Casimir force for massive scalar field. The more formal and illustrative one relies on the general tool of the field theory, i.e. the explicit form of the quantum field operators,

while the other way, perhaps concise and more simple, originates from the fluctuation dissipation theorem and Kubo's formula. Apparently, the latter provides an alternative formulation of the effect that mutually equivalent.

To simplify the calculation, we consider the attractive force between two nonpenetrating plates. The scope of the presented paper is extended to the derivation of the formal expression of the force in three different spatial dimensions. We begin with 1+1 space-time dimensions whose force expression is given in Eq. (23). The details of the calculations are written in an appropriate form which can easily be extended to 3+1 space-time dimensions whose force expression is provided in Eq. (37). It is seen that the latter calculation is the straight forward generalization of the former. This allows one to recast this formalism into $D+1$ space-time dimensions in a similar manner whose force expression is of the form Eq. (77).

If we restrict our discussion to massless scalar field, we are thus led to cast the main result of the present work into a brief and concise statement that the force between two nonpenetrating plates is always in the form of $C_D\left(\hbar c/a^{D+1}\right)$, where a is the separation between the two plates, D denotes to the spatial dimensions of the problem and the constant factor C_D is all that is needed to be obtained by the details of the calculations. This can also be verified by using the dimensional considerations.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank R. Matloob and H. Safari for very helpful comments and suggestions without which the present work would not have been refined.

[1] H. Casimir, Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. 51, 793 (1948).
[2] H. Casimir and D. Polder, Sov. Phys. JETP 73, 360 (1948).
[3] G. Plunien, B. Meller, and W. Greiner, Phys. Rep. 134, 87 (1986), and references therein.
[4] E. Elizalde and A. Romeo, Am. J. Phys. 59, 711 (1991).
[5] M. Bordag, G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen and V. M. Mostepanenko, *Advances in the Casimir effect* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009).
[6] K. A. Milton, *The Casimir effect: Physical manifestation of zero-point energy*(World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).
[7] J. Ambjrn and S. Wolfram, Ann. Phys. (NY) 147, 1 (1983).
[8] P. Hays, Ann. Phys. (NY) 121, 32 (1979).
[9] K. Johnson, Acta Phys. Polonica B6, 865 (1975).
[10] K. A. Milton, Ann. Phys. (NY) 150, 432 (1983).
[11] V. M. Mostepanenko and N. N. Trunov, Sov. Phys. Usp. 31, 965 (1988).
[12] P. W. Milonni, *The Quantum Vacuum: An Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics*(Academic Press, San Diego, 1994).
[13] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C. B. Thorn and V. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. D, 9, 3471 (1974).
[14] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and C. B. Thorn, Phys. Rev. D, 10, 2599 (1974).
[15] T. DeGrand,, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and J. Kiskis, Phys. Rev. D, 12, 2060 (1975).
[16] J. F. Donoghue, E. Golowich and B. R. Holstein, Phys. Rev. D, 12, 2875 (1975).
[17] R. E. Schrock and S. B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. D, 19, 2148 (1979).
[18] T. Appelquist and A. Chodos, phys. Rev. Lett., 50 141 (1983).
[19] P. Candelas and S. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. B 237, 397 (1984).
[20] T. Appelquist and A. Chodos, Phys. Rev. D 28 772 (1983).
[21] S. Weinberg, Phys. Lett. B 125, 265 (1983).
[22] T. Appelquist, A. Chodos and E. Myers, Phys. Lett. B 127 51 (1983).
[23] M. A. Rubin and C. R. Ordones. J. Math. Phys. 25 2888 (1984).
[24] M. A. Rubin and C. R. Ordones. J. Math. Phys. 26 65 (1985).
[25] A. Chodos and E. Myers, Ann. Phys. (NY) 156, 412 (1984).
[26] A. Chodos and E. Myers, Phys. Rev. D 31 3064 (1985).
[27] E. Lifshitz, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 29, 94 (1956) [Sov. Phys. JETP 2, 73 (1956)].
[28] A. A. Banishev, G. L. Klimchitskaya, V. M. Mostepanenko and U. Mohideen, Phys. Rev. B 88, 155410 (2013).
[29] G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen and V. M. Mostepanenko, Phys. Rev. D 87, 125031 (2013).
[30] A. A. Banishev, J. Wagner, T. Emig, R. Zandi and U. Mohideen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 250403 (2013).
[31] A. A. Banishev, H. Wen, J. Xu, R. K. Kawakami, G. L. Klimchitskaya, V. M. Mostepanenko and U. Mohideen, Phys. Rev. B 87, 205433 (2013).
[32] R. Matloob, A. Keshavarz, and D. Sedighi, Phys. Rev. A 60, 3410 (1999).
[33] R. Matloob, Phys. Rev. A 60, 3421 (1999).
[34] R. Matloob and H. Falinejad, Phys. Rev. A 64, 042102 (2001).
[35] W. Greiner, J. Reinhardt, Field Quantization (Springer, New York, 2008).

[36] P. M. Morse, H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics, Part 1 (McGraw-Hill, New York 1953).
[37] I. Gradshteyn and I. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products, 7th ed. (Academic Press, New York, 2007).
[38] L.landau and E. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, 3rd ed. (Pergamon, oxford, part2, 1980).